

HOPF-CYCLIC HOMOLOGY WITH CONTRAMODULE COEFFICIENTS

TOMASZ BRZEZIŃSKI

ABSTRACT. A new class of coefficients for the Hopf-cyclic homology of module algebras and coalgebras is introduced. These coefficients, termed *stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules*, are both modules and *contramodules* of a Hopf algebra that satisfy certain compatibility conditions.

1. Introduction. It has been demonstrated in [8], [9] that the Hopf-cyclic homology developed by Connes and Moscovici [5] admits a class of non-trivial coefficients. These coefficients, termed *anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules* are modules and comodules of a Hopf algebra satisfying a compatibility condition reminiscent of that for cross modules. The aim of this note is to show that the Hopf-cyclic (co)homology of module coalgebras and module algebras also admits coefficients that are modules and *contramodules* of a Hopf algebra with a compatibility condition.

All (associative and unital) algebras, (coassociative and counital) coalgebras in this note are over a field k . The coproduct in a coalgebra C is denoted by Δ_C , and counit by ε_C . A Hopf algebra H is assumed to have a bijective antipode S . We use the standard Sweedler notation for coproduct $\Delta_C(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$, $\Delta_C^2(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}$, etc., and for the left coaction ${}^N\varrho$ a C -comodule N , ${}^N\varrho(x) = x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)}$ (in all cases summation is implicit). $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ denotes the space of k -linear maps between vector spaces V and W .

2. Contramodules. The notion of a *contramodule* for a coalgebra was introduced in [6], and discussed in parallel with that of a comodule. A *right contramodule* of a coalgebra C is a vector space M together with a k -linear map $\alpha : \text{Hom}(C, M) \rightarrow M$ rendering the following diagrams commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Hom}(C, \text{Hom}(C, M)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(C, \alpha)} & \text{Hom}(C, M) & & \\
 \Theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \\
 \text{Hom}(C \otimes C, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\Delta_C, M)} & \text{Hom}(C, M) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M, \\
 & & & & \\
 & & \text{Hom}(k, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(\varepsilon_C, M)} & \text{Hom}(C, M) \\
 & & \searrow \simeq & & \swarrow \alpha \\
 & & M, & &
 \end{array}$$

Date: June 5, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 19D55.

where Θ is the standard isomorphism given by $\Theta(f)(c \otimes c') = \Theta(f)(c)(c')$. *Left contramodules* are defined by similar diagrams, in which Θ is replaced by the isomorphism $\Theta'(f)(c \otimes c') = f(c')(c)$ (or equivalently, as right contramodules for the co-opposite coalgebra C^{op}). Writing blanks for the arguments, and denoting by matching dots the respective functions α and their arguments, the definition of a right C -contramodule can be explicitly written as, for all $f \in \text{Hom}(C \otimes C, M)$, $m \in M$,

$$\dot{\alpha}(\ddot{\alpha}(f(\dot{} \otimes \ddot{}))) = \alpha(f((-)_{(1)} \otimes (-)_{(2)})), \quad \alpha(\varepsilon_C(-)m) = m.$$

With the same conventions the conditions for left contramodules are

$$\dot{\alpha}(\ddot{\alpha}(f(\ddot{} \otimes \dot{}))) = \alpha(f((-)_{(1)} \otimes (-)_{(2)})), \quad \alpha(\varepsilon_C(-)m) = m.$$

If N is a left C -comodule with coaction ${}^N\varrho : N \rightarrow C \otimes N$, then its dual vector space $M = N^* := \text{Hom}(N, k)$ is a right C -contramodule with the structure map

$$\alpha : \text{Hom}(C, M) \simeq \text{Hom}(C \otimes N, k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, k) = M, \quad \alpha = \text{Hom}({}^N\varrho, k).$$

Explicitly, α sends a functional f on $C \otimes N$ to the functional $\alpha(f)$ on N ,

$$\alpha(f)(x) = f(x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)}), \quad x \in N.$$

The dual vector space of a right C -comodule N with a coaction $\varrho^N : N \rightarrow N \otimes C$ is a left C -contramodule with the structure map $\alpha = \text{Hom}(\varrho^N, k)$. The reader interested in more detailed accounts of the contramodule theory is referred to [1], [14].

3. Anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules. Given a Hopf algebra H with a bijective antipode S , anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules are defined as H -modules and H -contramodules with a compatibility condition. Very much the same as in the case of anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules [7] they come in four different flavours.

- (1) A *left-left anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule* is a left H -module (with the action denoted by a dot) and a left H -contramodule with the structure map α , such that, for all $h \in H$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(H, M)$,

$$h \cdot \alpha(f) = \alpha(h_{(2)} \cdot f(S^{-1}(h_{(1)})(-)h_{(3)})).$$

M is said to be *stable*, provided that, for all $m \in M$, $\alpha(r_m) = m$, where $r_m : H \rightarrow M$, $h \mapsto h \cdot m$.

- (2) A *left-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule* is a left H -module and a right H -contramodule, such that, for all $h \in H$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(H, M)$,

$$h \cdot \alpha(f) = \alpha(h_{(2)} \cdot f(S(h_{(3)})(-)h_{(1)})).$$

M is said to be *stable*, provided that, for all $m \in M$, $\alpha(r_m) = m$.

- (3) A *right-left anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule* is a right H -module and a left H -contramodule, such that, for all $h \in H$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(H, M)$,

$$\alpha(f) \cdot h = \alpha(f(h_{(3)}(-)S(h_{(1)})) \cdot h_{(2)}).$$

M is said to be *stable*, provided that, for all $m \in M$, $\alpha(\ell_m) = m$, where $\ell_m : H \rightarrow M$, $h \mapsto m \cdot h$.

- (4) A *right-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule* is a right H -module and a right H -contramodule, such that, for all $h \in H$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(H, M)$,

$$\alpha(f) \cdot h = \alpha \left(f \left(h_{(1)}(-) S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \right) \cdot h_{(2)} \right).$$

M is said to be *stable*, provided that, for all $m \in M$, $\alpha(\ell_m) = m$.

In a less direct, but more formal way, the compatibility condition for left-left anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules can be stated as follows. For all $h \in H$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(H, M)$, define k -linear maps $\ell_{f,h} : H \rightarrow M$, by

$$\ell_{f,h} : h' \mapsto h_{(2)} \cdot f \left(S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) h' h_{(3)} \right).$$

Then the main condition in (1) is

$$h \cdot \alpha(f) = \alpha(\ell_{f,h}), \quad \forall h \in H, f \in \text{Hom}(H, M).$$

Compatibility conditions between action and the structure maps α in (2)–(4) can be written in analogous ways.

If N is an anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module, then its dual $M = N^*$ is an anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule (with the sides interchanged). Stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules correspond to stable contramodules. For example, consider a right-left Yetter-Drinfeld module N . The compatibility between the right action and left coaction ${}^N\varrho$ thus is, for all $x \in N$ and $h \in H$,

$${}^N\varrho(x \cdot h) = S(h_{(3)})x_{(-1)}h_{(1)} \otimes x_{(0)}h_{(2)}.$$

The dual vector space $M = N^*$ is a left H -module by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$,

$$(h \cdot m)(x) = m(x \cdot h),$$

for all $h \in H$, $m \in M = \text{Hom}(N, k)$ and $x \in N$, and a right H -contramodule with the structure map $\alpha(f) = f \circ {}^N\varrho$, $f \in \text{Hom}(H \otimes N, k) \simeq \text{Hom}(H, M)$. The space $\text{Hom}(H \otimes N, k)$ is a left H -module by $(h \cdot f)(h' \otimes x) = f(h' \otimes x \cdot h)$. Hence

$$(h \cdot \alpha(f))(x) = \alpha(f)(x \cdot h) = f({}^N\varrho(x \cdot h)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \left(h_{(2)} \cdot f \left(S(h_{(3)})(-)h_{(1)} \right) \right) (x) &= h_{(2)} \cdot f \left(S(h_{(3)})x_{(-1)}h_{(1)} \otimes x_{(0)} \right) \\ &= f \left(S(h_{(3)})x_{(-1)}h_{(1)} \otimes x_{(0)} \cdot h_{(2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the compatibility condition in item (2) is satisfied. The k -linear map $r_m : H \rightarrow M$ is identified with $r_m : H \otimes N \rightarrow k$, $r_m(h \otimes x) = m(x \cdot h)$. In view of this identification, the stability condition comes out as, for all $m \in M$ and $x \in N$,

$$m(x) = \alpha(r_m)(x) = r_m(x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)}) = m(x_{(0)} \cdot x_{(-1)}),$$

and is satisfied provided N is a stable right-left anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module. Similar calculations establish connections between other versions of anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules and contramodules.

4. Hopf-cyclic homology of module coalgebras. Let C be a left H -module coalgebra. This means that C is a coalgebra and a left H -comodule such that, for all $c \in C$ and $h \in H$,

$$\Delta_C(h \cdot c) = h_{(1)} \cdot c_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot c_{(2)}, \quad \varepsilon_C(h \cdot c) = \varepsilon_H(h) \varepsilon_C(c).$$

The multiple tensor product of C , $C^{\otimes n+1}$, is a left H -module by the diagonal action, that is

$$h \cdot (c^0 \otimes c^1 \otimes \dots \otimes c^n) := h_{(1)} \cdot c^0 \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot c^1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_{(n+1)} \cdot c^n.$$

Let M be a stable left-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule. For all positive integers n , set $C_n^H(C, M) := \text{Hom}_H(C^{\otimes n+1}, M)$ (left H -module maps), and, for all $0 \leq i, j \leq n$, define $d_i : C_n^H(C, M) \rightarrow C_{n-1}^H(C, M)$, $s_j : C_n^H(C, M) \rightarrow C_{n+1}^H(C, M)$, $t_n : C_n^H(C, M) \rightarrow C_n^H(C, M)$, by

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(f)(c^0, \dots, c^{n-1}) &= f(c^0, \dots, \Delta_C(c^i), \dots, c^{n-1}), \quad 0 \leq i < n, \\ d_n(f)(c^0, \dots, c^{n-1}) &= \alpha(f(c_{(2)}^0, c^1, \dots, c^{n-1}, (-) \cdot c_{(1)}^0)), \\ s_j(f)(c^0, \dots, c^{n+1}) &= \varepsilon_C(c^{j+1})f(c^0, \dots, c^j, c^{j+2}, \dots, c^{n+1}), \\ t_n(f)(c^0, \dots, c^n) &= \alpha(f(c^1, \dots, c^n, (-) \cdot c^0)). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that all the maps s_j , d_i , $i < n$, are well-defined, i.e. they send left H -linear maps to left H -linear maps. That d_n and t_n are well-defined follows by the anti-Yetter-Drinfeld condition. To illustrate how the anti-Yetter-Drinfeld condition enters here we check that the t_n are well defined. For all $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(f)(h \cdot (c^0, \dots, c^n)) &= t_n(f)(h_{(1)} \cdot c^0, \dots, h_{(n+1)} \cdot c^n) \\ &= \alpha(f(h_{(2)} \cdot c^1, \dots, h_{(n+1)} \cdot c^n, (-)h_{(1)} \cdot c^0)) \\ &= \alpha(f(h_{(2)} \cdot c^1, \dots, h_{(n+1)} \cdot c^n, h_{(n+2)}S(h_{(n+3)})(-)h_{(1)} \cdot c^0)) \\ &= \alpha(h_{(2)} \cdot f(c^1, \dots, c^n, S(h_{(3)})(-)h_{(1)} \cdot c^0)) \\ &= h \cdot \alpha(f(c^1, \dots, c^n, (-) \cdot c^0)) = h \cdot t_n(f)(c^0, \dots, c^n), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equation follows by the properties of the antipode and counit, the fourth one is a consequence of the H -linearity of f , while the anti-Yetter-Drinfeld condition is used to derive the penultimate equality.

Theorem 1. *Given a left H -module coalgebra C and a left-right stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule M , $C_*^H(C, M)$ with the d_i , s_j , t_n defined above is a cyclic module.*

Proof. One needs to check whether the maps d_i , s_j , t_n satisfy the relations of a cyclic module; see e.g. [12, p. 203]. Most of the calculations are standard, we only display examples of those which make use of the contramodule axioms. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{n-1} \circ d_{n-1})(f)(c^0, \dots, c^{n-1}) &= \alpha(d_{n-1}(f)(c^1, \dots, c^{n-1}, (-) \cdot c^0)) \\ &= \alpha(f(c^1, \dots, c^{n-1}, \Delta_C((-) \cdot c^0))) \\ &= \alpha(f(c^1, \dots, c^{n-1}, (-)_{(1)} \cdot c_{(1)}^0, (-)_{(2)} \cdot c_{(2)}^0)) \\ &= \alpha(\ddot{\alpha}(f(c^1, \dots, c^{n-1}, (\dot{-}) \cdot c_{(1)}^0, (\ddot{-}) \cdot c_{(2)}^0))) \\ &= \alpha(t_n(f)(c_{(2)}^0, c^1, \dots, c^{n-1}, (-) \cdot c_{(1)}^0)) \\ &= (d_n \circ t_n)(f)(c^0, \dots, c^{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows by the module coalgebra property of C , and the fourth one is a consequence of the associative law for contramodules. In a similar way, using compatibility of H -action on C with counits of H and C , and that $\alpha(\varepsilon_C(-)m) = m$, for all $m \in M$, one easily shows that $d_{n+1} \circ s_n$ is the identity

map on $C_n^H(C, M)$. The stability of M is used to prove that t_n^{n+1} is the identity. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n^{n+1}(f)(c^0, \dots, c^n) &= \alpha^{n+1}(f((-) \cdot c^0, \dots, (-) \cdot c^n)) \\ &= \alpha(f((-)_{(1)} \cdot c^0, \dots, (-)_{(n+1)} \cdot c^n)) = \alpha(r_{f(c^0, \dots, c^n)}) = f(c^0, \dots, c^n), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows by the n -fold application of the associative law for contramodules, and the penultimate equality is a consequence of the H -linearity of f . The final equality follows by the stability of M . $\square$

Let N be a right-left stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module, and $M = N^*$ be the corresponding left-right stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule, then

$$C_n^H(C, M) = \text{Hom}_H(C^{\otimes n+1}, \text{Hom}(N, k)) \simeq \text{Hom}(N \otimes_H C^{\otimes n+1}, k).$$

With this identification, the cycle module $C_n^H(C, N^*)$ is obtained by applying functor $\text{Hom}(-, k)$ to the cyclic module for N described in [8, Theorem 2.1].

5. Hopf-cyclic cohomology of module algebras. Let A be a left H -module algebra. This means that A is an algebra and a left H -module such that, for all $h \in H$ and $a, a' \in A$,

$$h \cdot (aa') = (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot a'), \quad h \cdot 1_A = \varepsilon_H(h)1_A.$$

Lemma 1. *Given a left H -module algebra A and a left H -contramodule, $\text{Hom}(A, M)$ is an A -bimodule with the left and right A -actions defined by*

$$(a \cdot f)(b) = f(ba), \quad (f \cdot a)(b) = \alpha(f(((-) \cdot a)b)),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(A, M)$.

Proof. The definition of left A -action is standard, compatibility between left and right actions is immediate. To prove the associativity of the right A -action, take any $a, a', b \in A$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(A, M)$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \cdot a) \cdot a')(b) &= \alpha \left(\alpha \left(f \left(((-) \cdot a) ((-) \cdot a') b \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \alpha \left(f \left(((-)_{(1)} \cdot a) ((-)_{(2)} \cdot a') b \right) \right) \\ &= \alpha \left(f \left(((-) \cdot (aa')) b \right) \right) = ((aa') \cdot f)(b), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows by the definition of a left H -contramodule, and the third one in a consequence of the module algebra property. The unitality of the right A -action follows by the triangle diagram for contramodules and the fact that $h \cdot 1_A = \varepsilon_H(h)1_A$. $\square$

For an H -module algebra A , $A^{\otimes n+1}$ is a left H -module by the diagonal action

$$h \cdot (a^0 \otimes a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n) := h_{(1)} \cdot a^0 \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot a^1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_{(n+1)} \cdot a^n.$$

Take a stable left-left anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule M , set $C_H^n(A, M)$ to be the space of left H -linear maps $\text{Hom}_H(A^{\otimes n+1}, M)$, and, for all $0 \leq i, j \leq n$, define $\delta_i : C_H^{n-1}(A, M) \rightarrow C_H^n(A, M)$, $\sigma_j : C_H^{n+1}(A, M) \rightarrow C_H^n(C, M)$, $\tau_n : C_H^n(A, M) \rightarrow$

$C_H^n(A, M)$, by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_i(f)(a^0, \dots, a^n) &= f(a^0, \dots, a^{i-1}, a^i a^{i+1}, a^{i+2}, \dots, a^n), \quad 0 \leq i < n, \\ \delta_n(f)(a^0, \dots, a^n) &= \alpha(f(((-) \cdot a^n) a^0, a^1, \dots, a^{n-1})), \\ \sigma_j(f)(a^0, \dots, a^n) &= f(a^0, \dots, a^j, 1_A, a^{j+1}, \dots, a^n), \\ \tau_n(f)(a^0, \dots, a^n) &= \alpha(f((-) \cdot a^n, a^0, a^1, \dots, a^{n-1})).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the module coalgebra case, the above maps are well-defined by the anti-Yetter-Drinfeld condition. Explicitly, using the aforementioned condition as well as the fact that the inverse of the antipode is the antipode for the co-opposite Hopf algebra, one computes

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_n(f)(h \cdot (a^0, \dots, a^n)) &= \alpha(f(((-) h_{(n+1)}) \cdot a^n, h_{(1)} \cdot a^0, h_{(2)} \cdot a^1, \dots, h_{(n)} \cdot a^{n-1})) \\ &= \alpha(f((h_{(2)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)})(-)) h_{(n+3)}) \cdot a^n, h_{(1)} \cdot a^0, h_{(2)} \cdot a^1, \dots, h_{(n+2)} \cdot a^{n-1})) \\ &= \alpha(h_{(2)} \cdot f((S^{-1}(h_{(1)})(-)) h_{(3)}) \cdot a^n, a^0, \dots, a^{n-1})) = h \cdot \tau_n(f)(a^0, \dots, a^n).\end{aligned}$$

Analogous calculations ensure that also δ_n is well-defined.

Theorem 2. *Given a left H -module algebra A and a stable left-left anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule M , $C_H^n(A, M)$ with the δ_i , σ_j , τ_n defined above is a (co)cyclic module.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 1 and taking into account the canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n+1}, M) \simeq \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, \text{Hom}(A, M))$,

$$\text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n+1}, M) \ni f \mapsto [a^1 \otimes a^2 \otimes \dots \otimes a^n \mapsto f(-, a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n)],$$

the simplicial part comes from the standard A -bimodule cohomology. Thus only the relations involving τ_n need to be checked. In fact only the equalities $\tau_n \circ \delta_n = \delta_{n-1} \circ \tau_{n-1}$ and $\tau_n^{n+1} = \text{id}$ require one to make use of definitions of a module algebra and a left contramodule. In the first case, for all $f \in C_H^n(A, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_n \circ \delta_n)(f)(a^0, \dots, a^n) &= \alpha\left(\ddot{\alpha}\left(f\left(\left(\ddot{(-)} \cdot a^{n-1}\right)\left(\dot{(-)} \cdot a^n\right), a^0, \dots, a^{n-2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \alpha\left(f\left(\left((-)_{(1)} \cdot a^{n-1}\right)\left((-)_{(2)} \cdot a^n\right), a^0, \dots, a^{n-2}\right)\right) \\ &= \alpha\left(f\left((-) \cdot (a^{n-1} a^n), a^0, \dots, a^{n-2}\right)\right) \\ &= (\delta_{n-1} \circ \tau_{n-1})(f)(a^0, \dots, a^n),\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows by the associative law for left contramodules and the third one by the definition of a left H -module algebra. The equality $\tau_n^{n+1} = \text{id}$ follows by the associative law of contramodules, the definition of left H -action on $A^{\otimes n+1}$, and by the stability of anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules. $\square$

In the case of a contramodule M constructed on the dual vector space of a stable right-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module N , the complex described in Theorem 2 is the right-right version of Hopf-cyclic complex of a left module algebra with coefficients in N discussed in [8, Theorem 2.2].

6. Anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules and hom-connections. Anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a Hopf algebra H can be understood as comodules of an H -coring; see [2] for explicit formulae and [4] for more information about corings. These are corings with a group-like element, and thus their comodules can be interpreted

as modules with a flat connection; see [2] for a review. Consequently, anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules are modules with a flat connection (with respect to a suitable differential structure); see [10].

Following similar line of argument anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules over a Hopf algebra H can be understood as contramodules of an H -coring. This is a coring of an entwining type, as a vector space built on $H \otimes H$, and its form is determined by the anti-Yetter-Drinfeld compatibility conditions between action and contra-action. The coring $H \otimes H$ has a group-like element $1_H \otimes 1_H$, which induces a differential graded algebra structure on tensor powers of the kernel of the counit of $H \otimes H$. As explained in [3, Section 3.9] contramodules of a coring with a group-like element correspond to *flat hom-connections*. Thus, in particular, anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules are flat hom-connections. We illustrate this discussion by the example of right-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules.

First recall the definition of hom-connections from [3]. Fix a differential graded algebra ΩA over an algebra A . A *hom-connection* is a pair (M, ∇_0) , where M is a right A -module and ∇_0 is a k -linear map from the space of right A -module homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, M)$ to M , $\nabla_0 : \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, M) \rightarrow M$, such that, for all $a \in A$, $f \in \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, M)$,

$$\nabla_0(f \cdot a) = \nabla_0(f) \cdot a + f(da),$$

where $f \cdot a \in \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, M)$ is given by $f \cdot a : \omega \mapsto f(a\omega)$, and $d : \Omega^* A \rightarrow \Omega^{*+1} A$ is the differential. Define $\nabla_1 : \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^2 A, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, M)$, by $\nabla_1(f)(\omega) = \nabla_0(f \cdot \omega) + f(d\omega)$, where, for all $f \in \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^2 A, M)$, the map $f \cdot \omega \in \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^1 A, M)$ is given by $\omega' \mapsto f(\omega\omega')$. The composite $F = \nabla_0 \circ \nabla_1$ is called the *curvature* of (M, ∇_0) . The hom-connection (M, ∇_0) is said to be *flat* provided its curvature is equal to zero.

Consider a Hopf algebra H with a bijective antipode, and define an H -coring $\mathcal{C} = H \otimes H$ as follows. The H bimodule structure of $\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$h \cdot (h' \otimes h'') = h_{(1)} h' S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)} h'', \quad (h' \otimes h'') \cdot h = h' \otimes h'' h,$$

the coproduct is $\mathcal{D} \otimes \text{id}_H$ and counit $\varepsilon_H \otimes \text{id}_H$. Take a right H -module M . The identification of right H -linear maps $H \otimes H \rightarrow M$ with $\text{Hom}(H, M)$ allows one to identify right contramodules of the H -coring $\mathcal{C}$ with right-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules over H .

The kernel of the counit in $\mathcal{C}$ coincides with $H^+ \otimes H$, where $H^+ = \ker \varepsilon_H$. Thus the associated differential graded algebra over H is given by $\Omega^n H = (H^+ \otimes H)^{\otimes_H n} \simeq (H^+)^{\otimes n} \otimes H$, with the differential given on elements h of H and one-forms $h' \otimes h \in H^+ \otimes H$ by

$$dh = 1_H \otimes h - h_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)},$$

$$d(h' \otimes h) = 1_H \otimes h' \otimes h - h'_{(1)} \otimes h'_{(2)} \otimes h + h' \otimes h_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)}.$$

Take a right-right anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodule M over a Hopf algebra H and identify $\text{Hom}_H(\Omega^1 H, M)$ with $\text{Hom}(H^+, M)$. For any $f \in \text{Hom}(H^+, M)$, set $\bar{f} : H \rightarrow M$ by $\bar{f}(h) = f(h - \varepsilon_H(h)1_H)$, and then define

$$\nabla_0 : \text{Hom}(H^+, M) \rightarrow M, \quad \nabla_0(f) = \alpha(\bar{f}).$$

(M, ∇_0) is a flat hom-connection with respect to the differential graded algebra ΩH .

7. Final remarks. In this note a new class of coefficients for the Hopf-cyclic homology was introduced. It is an open question to what extent Hopf-cyclic homology with coefficients in anti-Yetter-Drinfeld contramodules is useful in studying problems arising in (non-commutative) geometry. The answer is likely to depend on the supply of (calculable) examples, such as those coming from the transverse index theory of foliations (which motivated the introduction of Hopf-cyclic homology in [5]). It is also likely to depend on the structure of Hopf-cyclic homology with contramodule coefficients. One can easily envisage that, in parallel to the theory with anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module coefficients, the cyclic theory described in this note admits cup products (in the case of module coefficients these were foreseen in [8] and constructed in [11]) or homotopy formulae of the type discovered for anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules in [13]. Alas, these topics go beyond the scope of this short note. The author is convinced, however, of the worth-whileness of investigating them further.

REFERENCES

- [1] Böhm, G., Brzeziński, T., Wisbauer, R., *Monads and comonads in module categories*, arXiv:0804.1460 (2008).
- [2] Brzeziński, T., *Flat connections and (co)modules*, in *New Techniques in Hopf Algebras and Graded Ring Theory*, S. Caenepeel and F. Van Oystaeyen (eds.), Universa Press, Wetteren, pp. 35–52. (2007).
- [3] Brzeziński, T., *Non-commutative connections of the second kind*, arXiv:0802.0445, J. Algebra Appl., in press (2008).
- [4] Brzeziński, T., Wisbauer, R., *Corings and Comodules*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003). Erratum: <http://www-maths.swan.ac.uk/staff/tb/Corings.htm>
- [5] Connes, A., Moscovici, H., *Cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebra symmetry*, Lett. Math. Phys. 52, 1–28 (2000).
- [6] Eilenberg, S., Moore, J.C., *Foundations of relative homological algebra*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1965).
- [7] Hajac, P.M., Khalkhali, M., Rangipour, B., Sommerhäuser, Y., *Stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 338, 587–590 (2004).
- [8] Hajac, P.M., Khalkhali, M., Rangipour, B., Sommerhäuser, Y., *Hopf-cyclic homology and cohomology with coefficients*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 338, 667–672 (2004).
- [9] Jara, P., Ştefan, D., *Cyclic homology of Hopf-Galois extensions and Hopf algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 93, 138–174 (2006).
- [10] Kaygun, A., Khalkhali, M., *Hopf modules and noncommutative differential geometry*, Lett. Math. Phys. 76, 77–91 (2006).
- [11] Khalkhali, M., Rangipour, B., *Cup products in Hopf-cyclic cohomology*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 340, 9–14 (2005).
- [12] Loday, J.-L., *Cyclic Homology* 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin (1998).
- [13] Moscovici, H., Rangipour, B., *Cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras of transverse symmetries in codimension 1*, Adv. Math. 210, 323–374 (2007).
- [14] Positselski, L., *Homological algebra of semimodules and semicontramodules*, arXiv:0708.3398 (2007).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SWANSEA UNIVERSITY, SINGLETON PARK,
SWANSEA SA2 8PP, U.K.

E-mail address: T.Brzezinski@swansea.ac.uk